Search Notes		Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
		09/993,888	PENNELL ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Raymond J. Bayerl	2173	

SEARCHED

Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
345	713	7/26/2004	ORM
345	709	7/26/2004	ORM
345	707	7/26/2004	ORM
715	808,809	3/29/2005	RB
	781,713		
	709,707		
	733,738		
	741,743		
	748,749		
	760,788		
	803		
705	14		
719	328,310		
	318,329		

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED

Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
Search	as per	4/26/2005	RB
	above		

SEARCH NOTES
(INCLUDING SEARCH STRATEGY)

	DATE	EXMR
I used a 103 for the first office action, because Ray Bayrel Isuggested to, the 1st prior art was a system that send ad …	7/26/2004	ORM
… to every client computer. This way pop-up were possible. 2nd prior art was a way to block the pop-up from coming to ….	7/26/2004	ORM
… the screen. This way we rejected because of 103 it would been eay to make a pop-up blocker blocker	7/26/2004	ORM

EAST Search
  Files USPAT, PG-PUB
  IS&R/BRS Query; Image Browse
(transcript attached)
	3/29/2005	RB

EAST Search Update
  Image Browse of updated
  IS&R/BRS Term
	4/26/2005	RB

Search Notes (continued)	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
	09/993,888	PENNELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Bayerl	2173	

SEARCHED

Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
Update	Search	4/26/2005	RB
All	Above		
	Subcl		

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED

Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner

SEARCH NOTES
(INCLUDING SEARCH STRATEGY)

	DATE	EXMR